Serial No. 09/766,318

Atty. Ref.: 330-231

Group: 1774

Filed: January 19, 2001 Examiner: Ferguson

For: HEAT-RESISTANT GLASS FIBER AND PROCESS FOR THE PRODUCTION THEREOF

March 18, 2003

RECEIVED MAR 2 1 2003 TC 1700

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

## RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION AND PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE

This is responsive to the Official Action of November 4, 2002, Paper No. 9. Petition is hereby made for a two month extension of time for which our check in the appropriate amount is attached. Claims 1-5 remain pending and active in the application.

Attached is the Declaration of Mr. Tamura, the inventor, made March 14, 2003, which was prepared following discussions with the examiner and the undersigned on January 16, 2003.

In his Declaration Mr. Tamura confirms the correctness of the working examples and comparative examples included in the specification as filed, including recognition that the procedures in Examples 1-5 are typical examples of the present invention 220027 22003 WABDELR1 00000084 09766318 whereas comparative Example 3 is a typical example of the disclosures of U.S. 5,789,329 to Eastes et al.

The issues raised in the outstanding Official Action relate to the pertinence of the Eastes et al reference cited by itself against claims 1-3 or in combination with the

**TAMURA**Serial No. **09/766,318**March 18, 2003

secondary document against claims 4 and 5. It is applicant's position the claims now under review define subject matter that is patentable over the disclosures of the documents cited as evidenced by the information contained in the attached Declaration, which includes the examples and comparative examples in the as-filed specification as well as additional examples demonstrating the criticality of the SiO<sub>2</sub> content.

The evidence in Mr. Tamura's Declaration shows in all of Examples 1 to 5 (according to the present invention) the  $\Delta T$  is at least 50°C so that the glasses are excellent in spinnability, and further, the glass fibers are excellent in acid treatment properties and have 0.60 to 0.98  $\mu m$  thick surface layer portions made of silicic glass (having an SiO<sub>2</sub> content of at least 90% by weight), so that they have excellent heat resistance.

By contrast, in Comparative Example 3 (from the Eastes et al reference), the glass has a high  $SiO_2$  content and is excellent in spinnability. However, the glass fiber is poor in acid treatment properties, and since the surface layer portion made of a silicic glass has a thickness of only 0.04  $\mu$ m, the glass fiber is very poor in heat resistance.

These results demonstrate technical significance and criticality in the numerical restriction of the upper limit of  $SiO_2$  to 58.5 wt% in the present invention.

For further confirmation of the technical significance of numerical limitation of the upper limit of  $SiO_2$  to 58.5 wt%, the inventor carried out a series of <u>six experiments</u> in which the content of  $SiO_2$  was changed to 56, 57, 58, 58.5, 59 and 60 wt%, the content of CaO, whose content was the second largest, was changed to 26, 25, 24, 23.5, 23 and 22 wt% and the contents of the other components  $Al_2O_3$ , MgO,  $Na_2O$  and  $K_2O$  were kept unchanged.

The inventor reports in his Declaration on the data in Table 3 when the  $SiO_2$  content is 56 wt%, the weight loss ratio after the acid treatment is 12 wt%, and the glass fiber has remarkably excellent acid treatment properties. When the  $SiO_2$  content is increased to 57 wt%, 58 wt% and 58.5 wt%, the weight loss ratio decreases but is 8.00 wt%, 3.00 wt% or 1.70 wt%. The glass fibers therefore have excellent acid treatment properties.

TAMURA
Serial No. 09/766,318
March 18, 2003

However, when the content of  $SiO_2$  exceeds 58.5 wt% and reaches 59 wt% a significant change happens, the weight loss ratio becomes smaller than 1 wt% and is 0.30 wt%, which value corresponds to 17.6% of the weight loss ratio (1.70 wt%) obtained when the content of  $SiO_2$  is 58.5%. Further, the weight loss ratio when the content of  $SiO_2$  is 60% corresponds to 5% of the weight loss ratio when the content of  $SiO_2$  is 58.5 wt%. The inventor concludes these results show that the  $SiO_2$  content of 58.5 wt% has technical significance.

It will be apparent from all of the data contained in the attached Evidentiary Declaration, applicant's claims define heat-resistant glass fibers having properties distinct from and patentable over the disclosures of the compositions defined in the applied Eastes et al reference. Accordingly, claims 1-3 are patentable and so too are claims 4 and 5 by virtue of their dependence from claim 1. Reconsideration, entry of this Amendment and evidence and allowance are solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.** 

By:

Arthur R. Crawford Reg. No. 25,327

ARC:eaw

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor

Arlington, VA 22201-4714 Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100